

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,653 10/15/2003		10/15/2003	Chuan-Pei Yu	AUOP0022USA 2652		
27765	7590 02/24/2005			EXAMINER		
		INTERNATIONA	HAN, JASON			
P.O. BOX 50 MERRIFIEL		22116	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ŕ			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			 	T						
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			53	YU ET AL.						
			r	Art Unit						
		Jason M		2875						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no excation. ays, a reply within the statory period will apply and ways, by statute, cause the apply and ways.	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>15 October</u> 200	03.							
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,7,8 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 11,15 and 16 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	on Papers									
_	•	•								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) 🔲	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docum I Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage					
Attachment	(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date										
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO · No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/31/04</u> .	ate Patent Application (PTO	⊢152)							

Application/Control Number: 10/605,653 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Page 7, Line 2, Paragraph 19: Typographical error "bya" should read as "by a";
 - b. Page 8, Line 11, Paragraph 21: Typographical error "68are" should read as "68 are";

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: Grammatical error- "for uniform the light". Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: Grammatical error
 "the same patterns" should read as "the same pattern". Appropriate correction is required.
- Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: Grammatical error
 "are the different patterns". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/605,653 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 9, and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pelka et al. (U.S. Patent 6134092).
- 7. With regards to Claim 1, Pelka discloses an illumination device including:
 - A plurality of point light source generators [Figure 2: (50)];
 - A diffusing plate [Figures 12: (44, 46, 56)] installed on the plurality of point light source generators for scattering the light generated by the plurality of point light source generators; and
 - A plurality of scattering apertures [Figures 3-4: (70)] installed on the surface of the diffusing plate opposite to the plurality of point light source generators, wherein a scattering pattern [Figures 3-4: (65)] is disposed over the inner wall of at leas one scattering aperture [Column 4, Lines 37-54];
 - A diffusing sheet [Figure 12: (110)] installed above the diffusing plate for diffusing the light emitted from the diffusing plate.
- 8. With regards to Claim 2, Pelka discloses the point light source generators being light emitting diodes (LEDs) [Column 3, Lines 17-22].
- 9. With regards to Claim 3, Pelka discloses the diffusing plate including a plurality of scattering particles to uniform the light generated by the point light source generators [Column 4, Lines 37-54].
- 10. With regards to Claim 5, Pelka discloses the number of scattering apertures [Figures 3-4: (70, 71)] corresponding to the number of point light source generators

[Figures 3-4: (50)], whereby the position of each scattering aperture corresponds to the position of each point light source generator.

- 11. With regards to Claim 6, Pelka discloses the plurality of scattering apertures being trapezoidal in shape [Figure 3: (70)].
- 12. With regards to Claim 9, Pelka discloses the plurality of scattering patterns including a plurality of V-trenches [Column 7, Lines 44-61].
- 13. With regards to Claim 11, Pelka discloses at least one prism sheet [Figure 12: (108); Column 8, Line 3] installed above the diffusing sheet to uniform the light diffused by the diffusing sheet.
- 14. With regards to Claim 12, Pelka discloses the illumination device further including at least one brightness enhancement film [Figure 12: (108); Column 8, Lines 4-7] installed above the diffusing plate for enhancing the brightness of the backlight module.
- 15. With regards to Claim 14, Pelka discloses a scattering pattern being installed on the inner wall of each scattering aperture [Figure 3: (65); Column 4, Lines 37-54].
- 16. With regards to Claim 15, Pelka discloses the scattering patterns disposed over the inner walls are the same pattern [Figures 3-4: (65); Column 4, Lines 37-54].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,653

Art Unit: 2875

17. Claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pelka et al. (U.S. Patent 6134092) as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Miyazaki (U.S. Patent 6065845).

With regards to Claim 13, Pelka discloses the claimed invention as cited above. In addition, Pelka teaches a heat sink made of copper [Figures 10-12: (42, 96); Column 6, Lines 19-29] that is installed under the plurality of point light source generators, but does not specifically teach the heat sink serving as a reflecting plate.

Miyazaki teaches a lighting device including a reflecting plate [Figures 1-3: (19)] disposed under a plurality of point light source generators [Figures 1-3: (18)].

It would have been obvious to modify the illumination device of Pelka to incorporate the reflecting plate of Miyazaki in order to provide a more efficient illumination, whereby the reflecting plate helps to prevent a loss of light within the device.

18. With regards to Claim 16, Pelka in view of Miyazaki discloses the claimed invention as cited above. In addition, it is obvious of Pelka that one could apply different patterns over the inner walls to produce a different scattering/reflecting effect on the illumination [Column 4, Lines 37-43].

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following references are cited to further show the state of the art pertinent to the current application, but are not considered exhaustive:

Art Unit: 2875

US Patent 5070431 to Kitazawa et al;

US Patent 5477422 to Hooker et al;

US Patent 6007209 to Pelka;

US Patent 6008871 to Okumura;

US Patent 6419372 to Shaw et al;

US Patent 6601962 to Ehara et al;

US Patent 6666569 to Obata;

US Patent 6697042 to Cohen et al;

US Publication 2004/0105247 to Calvin et al;

US Patent 6789921 to Deloy et al;

US Patent 6805468 to Itoh et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-2207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMH (2/7/2005)

JOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINED